



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,403		10/15/2003	Hideki Kuwajima	2003_1435A	4372
513	7590	05/10/2006	EXAMINER		
WENDER 2033 K STR	•	ND & PONACK, L	RENNER, CRAIG A		
SUITE 800	CEEI N.	w.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, D	C 20006-1021	2627		
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/684,40	3	KUWAJIMA ET A	L.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Craig A. Re		2627					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING IN THE MAI	DATE OF TH .136(a). In no even d will apply and will ate, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this of U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 150	October 2003).						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is no	on-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or	r election req	uirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		objected to by the F	Examiner					
۵,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	,							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	'ED 1 121/d\				
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		, ,				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın nriority und	or 25 U.S.C. & 110(a)	(d) or (f)					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	in priority und	ei 33 0.3.0. g 1 19(a)	-(u) or (i).					
α,		nte have heer	rocoived						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
			• •	<u> </u>	1.01				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	· · · ·		ed in this Nationa	Stage				
* 4	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certifi	ea copies not receive	d.					
Attachmen	• •		_						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	R۱	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		(O-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	0)	6) Other:	and a production (1-1	,				
	•								

Application/Control Number: 10/684,403

Art Unit: 2627

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1, 3-11 and 18-25, drawn to a "piezoelectric actuator" configured "to suppress a wavy resonance phenomenon of said flexible substrate", classified in class 360, subclass 97.02.

Page 2

- II. Claims 2 and 12-17, drawn to a "piezoelectric actuator" with a "coupling portion provided in the longitudinal center of said piezoelectric element unit", classified in class 360, subclass 294.4.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions of groups I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, the subcombination of group I has separate utility such as usable with a piezoelectric actuator with a coupling portion provided offset from the longitudinal center of the piezoelectric element unit.

 Alternatively, the subcombination of group II has separate utility such as usable with a piezoelectric actuator not configured to suppress a wavy resonance phenomenon of the flexible substrate. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,403 Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

<u>Species I</u> - FIGS. 1-14, 15(A-B) and 16(A-B). <u>Species II</u> - FIG. 17.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 appears to be generic to both species.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. A telephone call was made to Charles R. Watts on 09 May 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/684,403

Art Unit: 2627

Page 5

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig A. Renner whose telephone number is (571) 272-7580. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 9:00 AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Craig A. Renner **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2627

CAR